

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/644,532 08/24/2000 Runsheng He MP0027 2745 7590 23624 09/30/2003 MARVELL SEMICONDUCTOR, INC. **EXAMINER** INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT TSE, YOUNG TOI 700 FIRST AVENUE, MS# 509 SUNNYVALE, CA 94089 **ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER** 2634 DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•				
Office Action Summary		09/644,532	HE, RUNSHENG	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAN INO DATE of Abic accessorie diese	Young T. Tse	2634	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REFMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, neely within the statutory minimum and will expire SIX (6) ute, cause the application to beco	of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u> 4 August 2000</u> .		
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und			
· · _	ion of Claims Claim(s) <u>1-74</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion	•	
7/23	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		` 1	
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
_	☐ Claim(s)			
	Claim(s) <u>9,29,46 and 66</u> is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/ I/or election requiremen	. • t.	
•	ion Papers			
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 August 2000</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b	disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•	
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received	•	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received	in Application No	
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the personal application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a limited of the action of the personal action action action and action acti	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).	
l III	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	•		
8	a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application h	as been received.	
,— Attachmer	,			
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:	

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Drawings

- 1. Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to because the numerals labeled for the block elements of figures 1, 3, and 6-8 are too far away from the block elements. See the correct way of labeling in figure 9. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 2, line 5, "106" (both occurrences) should be – 105 – and on page 7, line 30, "312" should be – 302 --. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 1-17 and 19-74 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 1, line 6, "feed forward" should be – feedforward --; in line 1 of claims 2-17 and

Application/Control Number: 09/644,532

Art Unit: 2634

j.

19-37, "circuit" should be – apparatus --; in claim 13, line 3, "filtering" should be – filtering postcursor ISI --. Also see claims 33, 50, and 69; in claim 17, line 1, "15" should be – 16 --; in claim 38, line 4, "signal" should be – signal; -- and line 8, "feed forward" should be – feedforward --; in claim 46, line 4, ", and" should be in the same line with the equation; and in claim 55, line 1, "A signal processing apparatus" should be – An Ethernet transceiver --. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 38-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 38, lines 5-6, "said input circuit" lacks clear antecedent basis.

Wherein the dependent claims 39-54 are directly or indirectly depended upon claim 38.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

Application/Control Number: 09/644,532

Art Unit: 2634

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

8. Claims 1-8, 10-28, 30-45, 47-65, and 67-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Samueli et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,178,198).

Samueli discloses a decision feed back equalizer in Fig. 5. which comprises a feed forward path having an A/D converter 48, a highpass filter 100, a feed forward equalizer 102; a soft decision circuit 104; a quantizer circuit 112; a decision feed back equalizer 108; a summation circuit 106; and a tail canceller 110.

With respect to claims 1, 18, 38, and 55, the decision feed back equalizer clearly comprises a feedforward equalizer including the highpass filter 100 and the feed forward equalizer 102; a decision circuit including the soft decision circuit 104 and the quantizer 112; and a feedback filter including the decision feed back equalizer 108, the summation circuit 106, and a tail canceller 110.

With respect to the dependent claims 2-8, 11-17, 19-28, 30-37, 39-45, 47-54, 56-65, and 67-74, the claimed subject matter are all well known elements provided in a decision feedback equalizer.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 9, 29, 46, and 66 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/644,532

Art Unit: 2634

Page 5

10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to show or suggest that the coefficient of an FIR filter W is

equal to a unit by the equation recited in claims 9, 29, 46, and 66.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

References Batruni, Turner, Raghavan, and Agazzi et al. are all related to a

decision feed back equalizer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Young T. Tse whose telephone number is 703 305-

4736. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 703 305-4714. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305-

4700.

ung 7. Tse

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2634